1 FILED 2 3 JUL 1 6 2012 4 RICHARD W. WIEKING 5 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. C 11-5127 LHK (PR) MARTIN RIOS, 12 Plaintiff, ORDER PROVIDING 13 PLAINTIFF NOTICE AND WARNING; SCHEDULING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 14 MATTHEW CATE, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a California prisoner pro se, filed this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 18 1983. Defendants have filed a motion for summary judgment. Although given an opportunity, 19 plaintiff did not file an opposition. Pursuant to Woods v. Carey, No. 09-15548, slip op. 7871, 7884-85 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012), Plaintiff must read the following "NOTICE -- WARNING 20 21 (SUMMARY JUDGMENT)," which is provided to him for a second time pursuant to Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), and Klingele v. Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 22 23 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988). 24 **NOTICE -- WARNING** 25 (SUMMARY JUDGMENT) 26 If Defendants move for summary judgment, they are seeking to have your case 27 dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil 28 Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Order Providing Plaintiff Notice and Warning; Scheduling Supplemental Briefing

G:\PRO-SE\SJ.LHK\CR.11\Rios127woods.wpd

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. 1 Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact – 2 that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party 3 who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your 4 5 case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your 6 7 complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts 8 9 shown in the Defendants' declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary 10 judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your 11 case will be dismissed and there will be no trial. 12 13 Although the deadline for Plaintiff to file an opposition has already passed, in light of Woods, Plaintiff may file a supplemental opposition within 14 days of the filing date of order. 14 15 Defendants shall file a supplemental reply to any supplemental opposition within 7 days 16 thereafter. 17

IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED:  $\frac{7/16/2}{}$ 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LUCY H. KOH United States District Judge

Order Providing Plaintiff Notice and Warning; Scheduling Supplemental Briefing G:\PRO-SE\SJ.LHK\CR.11\Rios127woods.wpd 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARTIN RIOS,	Case Number: CV11-05127 LHK
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
v.	
MATTHEW CATE et al,	
Defendant.	

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on July 16, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Martin Rios D-59250 Pelican Bay State Prison P.O. Box 7500 Crescent City, CA 95532

Dated: July 16, 2012

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk /s/ By: Elizabeth Garcia, Deputy Clerk